REMARKS

The Office Action of October 14, 2009, has been carefully studied.

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 currently appear in this application. These claims define novel and unobvious subject matter under Sections 102 and 103 of 35 U.S.C., and therefore should be allowed. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and formal allowance of the claims.

Claim Amendments

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that R^2 is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, a C_1 - C_6 alkyl group which is substituted with one or more halogen atoms, and a C_1 - C_6 alkoxy group which is substituted with one or more halogen atoms. The present supplemental amendment is submitted to add a limitation to claim 1.

Art Rejections

Claims 1 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cirillo et al, WO 2003/032989 in view of Miller et al., WO 1999/32436.

This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 1 has been amended so as not to include the compound species the Examiner has indicated are structurally related to the compounds of Cirillo. Miller teaches structurally related urea compounds in which R^2 can be phenyl or naphthyl, which have been

exclude d from amended claim 1. It is respectfully submitted that the amendment to claim 1 makes this rejection moot.

The compounds recited in claim 1 are further differentiated from those of Cirillo in the indispensible substituent R² on the phenyl ring. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the presently claimed compounds are not obvious over Cirillo in view of Miller.

Claims 2, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable overt Cirillo in view of Miller and further in view of Curtin et al., *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **14**: 4505-4509, 2004.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Submitted with the amendment filed January 7, 2010 is are English translations of Japanese Patent Applications Nos. 2004-048307 and 2004-24856, which are the priority documents for the present application. Since Curtin was published after the filing of these priority documents, it is respectfully submitted that Curtin is not a valid reference. As it is clear that the combination of Cirillo and Miller does not render the claimed compounds obvious, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Appln. No. 10/590,026 Suppl. Amd. dated January 12, 2010 Reply to Office Action of October 14, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

By

Aňne M. Kornbau

Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\Y\YUAS\Oikawal\Pto\2010-01-12SupplementalAmendment.doc